



## Beredningsgruppens yttrande

2024-06436 Kim Hammar Beredningsgrupp: IPD-NT2

**Utlysningens namn:** Internationell postdok 2 2024  
(Naturvetenskap och Teknikvetenskap)  
**Projekttitel (svenska):** Optimala åtgärder för att  
återhämta cyberfysiska system från cyberangrepp

**Bidragsform:** Internationell postdok  
**Sökt inriktning:** Naturvetenskap och teknikvetenskap

### Scientific quality of the proposed research 6

1 - Poor, 2 - Weak, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good, 5 - Very good to excellent, 6 - Excellent, 7 - Outstanding

The proposal is well structured, the topic is timely, and the research goals as well as the main approaches to achieve them are clearly formulated.

### Novelty and originality 6

1 - Poor, 2 - Weak, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good, 5 - Very good to excellent, 6 - Excellent, 7 - Outstanding

The approach proposed by the candidate, which combines game theory and causality for automated recovery procedures, has an excellent degree of novelty.

### Merits of the applicant 6

1 - Poor, 2 - Weak, 3 - Good, 4 - Very Good, 5 - Very good to excellent, 6 - Excellent, 7 - Outstanding

The candidate has an excellent track record with numerous first-authored contributions in top venues for the research field. The candidate has already secured an impressive network of international collaborators, which will be further strengthened by the proposed research activity.

### Feasibility 3

1 - Not feasible, 2 - Partly feasible, 3 - Feasible

The applicant describes clearly the complementary benefits of the choice of the project partners and links the proposed research to own, previous contributions. The project appears feasible.

### Internationalisation and research environment 6

1 - Poor, 2 - Weak, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Very good to excellent, 6 - Excellent, 7 - Outstanding

The choice of both foreign host institutions is well motivated: they provide relevant complementary competence required for a successful execution of the proposed research activities.

### Overall assessment of the application's scientific quality 6

1 - Poor, 2 - Weak, 3 - Good, 4 - Very good, 5 - Very good to excellent, 6 - Excellent, 7 - Outstanding

A well written, well structured, and timely proposal by an excellent candidate, which will be conducted in excellent international research environments.